

# Spin-Charge Separation in Angle-Resolved Photoemission Spectra

Hidekatsu Suzuura and Naoto Nagaosa

*Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan*  
(February 7, 2008)

The implications of the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) on the issue of spin-charge separation are discussed from the viewpoint of slave-particle RVB theories. It is pointed out that the non-local phase string is essential to reproduce the two-peak structure experimentally observed in SrCuO<sub>2</sub>. The comparison between 1D and 2D cases is also made.

71.27.+a, 78.20.Bh, 79.60.Bm.

The spin-charge separation is one of the central issues in the physics of strongly correlated electronic systems. Experimentally the angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) became a powerful tools to investigate this issue, because it gives the information on the electron Green's function directly as a function of the momentum and energy. Recently Kim et al. reported ARPES in one-dimensional SrCuO<sub>2</sub> [1], which is a typical example of a half-filled Mott insulator. In the spectra the two peak-structures with different dispersions are observed, and they interpreted the results as the dispersions of spinon and holon and hence the first experimental evidence for the spin-charge separation in 1D. However the word "spin-charge separation" is not yet uniquely defined, and especially the relation between spin-charge separation in 1D and 2D remains unclear. The definition of the spin-charge separation we employ in this paper is that the electron operator ( $c_{i\sigma}$ ) is given by the product of the spinon ( $s_{i\sigma}$ ) and holon ( $h_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ ) operators as [2]

$$c_{i\sigma} = s_{i\sigma} h_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \quad (1)$$

where both spinon and holon can be basically regarded as free bosons or fermions with definite momentum-energy relation. In this case the problem is reduced to that of one-particle properties. A RVB mean-field Hamiltonian of the  $t$ - $J$  model becomes

$$H = -\frac{t_h}{2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} h_i^\dagger h_j + \frac{J_s}{2} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} s_{i,\sigma}^\dagger s_{j,\sigma} \quad (2)$$

In this paper we argue that the spin and charge are *not* separated in their experiments by the definition given above. In contrast it will be shown below that the peak which they attributed to the holon is the evidence for the non-local phase string connecting them.

The physical picture for eq.(1) is that, when one photo-hole is created by the incident light, one vacant site (holon) and one (anti-)spinon are created. In 1D the spinon can be regarded as the kink of the antiferromagnetic configuration and can move freely without any energy cost proportional to the distance  $L$  from the holon. Hence the holon has the dispersion  $E_k^{\text{holon}} = -t_h \cos k$  while the spinon  $E_k^{\text{spinon}} = -J_s \cos k$ . If this naive picture is correct, there are energy-momentum relations given by

$$k = k_s - k_h$$

$$\omega = E_{k_s}^{\text{spinon}} - E_{k_h}^{\text{holon}}. \quad (3)$$

where  $k$  and  $\omega$  is the momentum and energy of the electron. Here, we adopt the slave-boson formalism. In this representation the holon is a boson and the spinon is a fermion. At half-filling, there is no hole and the statistics of holon has no effect on the spectral function. On the other hand, the spinons form the half-filled Fermi sea with the Fermi level  $E = 0$  and this is essential to the following results.

The electron Green's function, which is observed in ARPES, is given in real space-time by the product of those of spinon and holon as  $G(r, t) = G_{\text{spinon}}(r, t) G_{\text{holon}}(-r, -t)$  [3]. The Fourier transform  $G(k, \omega)$  is given by the convolution, and the spectral function  $A(k, \omega) = -\pi^{-1} \text{Im} G(k, \omega)$  is

$$A(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk_h \int_{-k_s^F}^{k_s^F} dk_s \delta(k + k_h - k_s) \delta(\omega + E_{k_h}^{\text{holon}} - E_{k_s}^{\text{spinon}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_k^2 - \omega^2}} f(k, \omega). \quad (4)$$

The momentum cut-off  $k_s^F$  in the  $k_s$ -integration determines the support  $f(k, \omega)$  of the spectral function, and other symbols are defined by

$$f(k, \omega) = \begin{cases} \theta(F_k - \omega) \theta(\omega + F_k \sin \phi_k) & (0 \leq k \leq k_0) \\ \theta(F_k \sin \phi_k - \omega) \theta(\omega + F_k) & (k_0 \leq k \leq \pi) \end{cases},$$

$$F_k = \sqrt{t_h^2 + J_s^2 - 2t_h J_s \cos k},$$

$$\tan \phi_k = \frac{t_h \sin k}{t_h \cos k - J_s}, \quad \phi_{k_0} = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is the Heaviside step function. We assume that  $\phi_k$  becomes  $k$  in the limit  $J \rightarrow 0$ . The fully shaded line shape in Fig.1(a) shows the  $A(k, \omega)$  for  $k = \frac{\pi}{6}$ . The line shape is almost determined by the DOS of holon and the momentum-dependent cut-off energy due to the filled Fermi sea of spinon. The square-root singularity appears at the band edge of holon and the energy dispersion of spinon gives the  $F_k$  with the band width  $2J_s$ . The cut-off energy has the dispersion  $t_h$ , but the peak structure with such a dispersion is missing here.

What is needed additionally to reproduce the correct behavior is the *phase string* effect which has been discussed by Weng et al. [4]. After the unitary transformation to take care of the sign change of the wavefunction, the electron operator is given by

$$\tilde{c}_{i\sigma} = s_{i\sigma} h_i^\dagger e^{i(\theta_i^h + \theta_i^s)} \quad (6)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i^h &= \mp \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{l>i} h_l^\dagger h_l \\ \theta_i^s &= \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{l>i} (s_{l\uparrow}^\dagger s_{l\uparrow} + s_{l\downarrow}^\dagger s_{l\downarrow} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Here, the spinon and the holon are described by the *free fermions*  $s_{i\sigma}$  and  $h_i$ , respectively, but the original spinon and holon strongly interact with each other via nonlocal phase-string. Now that the system is at half filling, the phase-string term of holon has no contribution, but that of the spinon makes the long-distance behavior of the spinon Green's function change from  $G_{\text{spinon}}(x, t) \sim e^{\pm i k_s^F x} / (x \pm v_s t)$  to

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\text{spinon}}(x, t) &= \langle s_{x,\sigma}^\dagger e^{-i\theta_x^s} e^{i\theta_0^s} s_{0,\sigma} \rangle \\ &\sim \frac{e^{\pm i k_s^F x}}{\sqrt{x \pm v_s t}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the Fermi velocity of spinon  $v_s = dE_{k=k_s^F}^{\text{spinon}}/dk = J_s$ . The bosonization technique gives this asymptotic behavior under the constraint  $\langle s_{l\uparrow}^\dagger s_{l\uparrow} + s_{l\downarrow}^\dagger s_{l\downarrow} \rangle = 1$  [4].

Taking this asymptotics into account, the spectral function  $A(k, \omega)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A(k, \omega) &\sim \int dx dt dk_h e^{i(\omega + E_{k_h}^{\text{holon}})t - i(k + k_h \mp k_s^F)x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x \pm v_s t}} \\ &\sim \int dX dk_h e^{-i(k + k_h \mp k_s^F)X} \frac{1}{\sqrt{X}} \\ &\quad \times \delta(\omega + E_{k_h}^{\text{holon}} \mp v_s(k + k_h \mp k_s^F)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This result is valid when low-energy anti-spinons are created below the Fermi points, that is,  $\Delta k = k_h + k \mp k_s^F$  and  $\Delta\omega = \omega + E_{-k \pm k_s^F}^{\text{holon}} (> 0)$  are close to zero. The constraint originated from the  $\delta$ -function is expanded in terms of  $\Delta k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega + E_{k_h}^{\text{holon}} \mp v_s(k + k_h \mp k_s^F) \\ = \Delta\omega \pm (t_h \cos k - J_s)\Delta k \mp \frac{1}{2} t_h \sin k (\Delta k)^2 + O((\Delta k)^3) \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \neq k_0$ ,  $\Delta\omega$  is in proportion to  $\Delta k$ . So,  $\delta$ -function gives no singularity and we obtain

$$A(k, \omega) \sim \int dX \frac{e^{-i\Delta k X}}{\sqrt{X}} \sim (\Delta k)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \propto (\Delta\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (9)$$

Then the square root singularities are restored. For  $k = k_0$ ,  $\Delta\omega$  scales as  $(\Delta k)^2$  and  $\delta$ -function gives a singular contribution  $\sim 1/\Delta k$ , which is identical to the band edge singularity of holon. So, the critical exponent changes as

$$A(k, \omega) \sim (\Delta k)^{-\frac{1}{2}-1} \propto (\Delta\omega)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (10)$$

The same exponents in the limit  $U \rightarrow \infty$  are obtained by Sorella and Parola [5]. That is the case also for the finite  $J$  in this approximation, which disagrees with the conclusion of them who claimed that the singularity becomes  $(\Delta\omega)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  everywhere for finite  $J$ . The partially-shaded portion in Fig.1 (a) schematically shows the singular structures due to the phase string interactions. As mentioned before, one anti-spinon is created, in other words, one spinon is annihilated under the photoemission process. Thus the energy tail goes at the positive-energy side.

In Fig.1 (b) the peak positions of the spectral function are plotted in  $\omega$ - $k$  plane. We interpret these structures as follows for small  $J_s$  case. When one photoelectron emits, one holon is created. Without spinon excitations, a single quasi-particle peak with the dispersion  $t_h$  is observed. One might think that the experimental spectra can be interpreted by adding the peak structure with the dispersion  $J_s$  due to the spinon excitations. However, the situation is not so simple. If spinon excitations are incoherent, the momentum conservation breaks and the whole DOS of holon is observed in the spectra. The square root singularity due to the DOS of holon appears at the band edge and has the dispersion  $J_s$  due to the spinon. Actually the spinon is an excitation of the coherent spin liquid forming the half-filled Fermi sea. So the energy and momentum conservation make spinon annihilation unable over the Fermi sea and this gives  $k$ -dependent energy cut off in the spectral function. What is more, the phase-string interactions causes the divergent structures like Fermi edge singularities concerned with low-energy excitations near the Fermi level. Energy-momentum conservation makes these singularities located at the holon energies with the momentum shift  $-k$  from the two Fermi points of spinon and  $\omega_s = t_h \cos(\pm k_s^F - k) = \pm t_h \sin k$ . Thus they have the dispersion  $t_h$ . The right side of this Fig.1 (b) agrees well with the results of the experiment and the exact diagonalization calculation [1]. Therefore the two peak structure with the dispersion  $t_h$  can be interpreted as the evidence not for the noninteracting spinon and holon but for the nonlocal phase string connecting them.

The above spectral function has been already obtained by the more elaborated treatment by Sorella and Parola [5] and Penc et al. [6] based on the Bethe-Ansatz wavefunction given by Ogata and Shiba [8] in the  $U \rightarrow \infty$  limit, which is the product of spin and charge parts. The phase string effect is already built-in in this wavefunction. However it was not clear whether the slave-particle formalism can reach the correct answer, and the calculation

above gives a simple approximate means to reproduce it starting from eq.(1).

The issue of spin-charge separation is related to the *phase string*, i.e., the quantal phase, described above. In the gauge theoretical formulation of the  $t$ - $J$  model we identify the problem of spin-charge separation as the confinement-deconfinement problem [2,3,10]. In the lattice gauge theory it is known that in 1D the deconfining phase, i.e., spin-charge separated phase, is impossible [7]. Then the gauge theory predicts that the naive spin-charge separation does not occur in 1D which is consistent with the correct answer. We believe that this gives some credit to the slave-particle + gauge theoretical formalism. Therefore the experiment of Kim et al. [1] is the evidence for the spin-charge separation in the sense of Bethe-Ansatz wavefunction, but not in the sense of eq.(1).

It is noted that the slave-fermion formalism can give the correct behavior. In that representation, the holon is a fermion and the spinon is a boson. This is closely related to the fact that, at half-filling, the Bethe-Ansatz wavefunction is a product of a Slater-determinant of spinless fermions and the spin wavefunction of the Heisenberg model. The holon is certainly a spinless fermion in the slave-fermion formalism and the Heisenberg spin can be described by the Schwinger-boson representation. In order to reproduce the correct behavior, however, the free-boson approximation is not enough and the hard-core condition must be taken into account. With this interaction between spinons, the correlation function  $\langle b_{x\sigma}^\dagger b_{0\sigma} \rangle$  scales as  $x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  in the long-distance limit, and this behavior corresponds to that of the Bethe-Ansatz solution in the limit  $U \rightarrow \infty$  [8]. The hard-core interaction between the bosons is automatically taken into account in terms of free fermions with the  $\pi$ -flux phase-string, i.e., Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$b_i = f_i e^{i\pi \sum_{l>i} f_l^\dagger f_l}. \quad (11)$$

This again strongly suggests the importance of the phase string effects. Recently, it is argued that a semionic-decomposition is an appropriate representation to grasp the key physical properties of the  $t$ - $J$  model [11]. It is certain that eq.(6) can be interpreted as a semionic decomposition and the free semion theory gives correct behavior. However, there is no one-particle basis for free semions at the moment, and hence the free-semionic decomposition does not satisfy our criterion of the spin-charge separation. Free semions strongly feels infinite-range phase-string interactions although the Hamiltonian has no interaction terms.

The real purpose of the slave particle formalism is of course to study the higher dimensional systems. In higher dimensions, there occurs the energy increase proportional to the separation  $L$  between the spinon and holon if the spin configuration is static. This *energy*

*string*, however, disappears when the holon waits for the spins to flip to relax the energy cost, and the holon dispersion becomes of the order of  $J$  [9]. This explains the ARPES in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2D) where only one peak is observed with the dispersion width  $\sim J$ . However this does not necessarily mean that the spin and charge are confined, because the confinement is the issue of the phase string. In (2+1)D it has been discussed that the deconfining phase is possible with the dissipative dynamics of the gauge field [10], which is in contrast to the energy string consideration given above. In the Neel state with long range magnetic ordering, the gauge field is confining with the chiral symmetry breaking. This corresponds to the undoped  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2D), and the ARPES can be basically understood in terms of the spin density wave picture with  $U \gg t$ . When the holes are doped, the Neel order disappears and the system becomes conductive. It has been pointed out that the gauge field may be deconfining in this case [10]. If the gauge field is deconfining, the mean field theory in the slave boson formalism [12] is basically justified with the perturbative corrections due to the gauge field fluctuations. The spectral function has only one peak in this case [3]. Experimentally  $A(k, \omega)$  at finite doping has only one peak but seems to consist of two components, i.e., the broad background and the quasi-particle peak [13]. The latter is not resolved from the former in the normal state, but it becomes sharp below the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$ . Additionally the weight of the quasi-particle peak grows as the doping  $x$  increases [13]. These features are consistent with the prediction assuming the naive spin-charge separation in eq.(1) [3].

In conclusion we interpret the two-peak structure in ARPES of  $\text{SrCuO}_2$  as the evidence for the phase string effect. This is in contrast to the two-dimensional high- $T_c$  cuprates where the spectral function is composed of two components but has only one peak, which is consistent with the spin-charge separation in the slave boson theory. The spin-charge separation is related not to the *energy string* but to the more subtle *quantal phase string*.

The authors acknowledge S.Maekawa, K. Pence, and H. Shiba for useful discussions. This work is supported by Priority Areas Grants and Grant-in-Aid for COE research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan,

- 
- [1] C. Kim et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4054 (1996), and unpublished.
  - [2] P.W. Anderson, Science **235**, 1196 (1987); G. Baskaran and P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 580 (1988).
  - [3] P.A. Lee and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 5621 (1992).

- [4] Z.Y. Weng, D.N. Sheng, and C.S. Ting, Phys. Rev. B**52**, 637 (1995); Z.Y. Weng et al., unpublished.
- [5] S. Sorella and A. Parola, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **4**, 3589 (1992); A. Parola and S. Sorella, Phys. Rev. B**45**, 13156 (1992).
- [6] K. Pence et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.**77**, 1390 (1996).
- [7] J. B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys.**51**, 659 (1979).
- [8] M. Ogata and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B**41**, 2326 (1990).
- [9] C.L. Kane, P.A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B**39**, 6880 (1989).
- [10] N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett.**71**, 4210 (1993).
- [11] P.A. Marchetti, Z. B. Su, and Lu Yu, unpublished.
- [12] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and P.W. Anderson, Solid State Commun. **63**, 973 (1987); Y. Suzumura, Y. Hasegawa, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **57**, 2768 (1988).
- [13] Z.X. Shen and J.R. Schrieffer, unpublished.

(a) The spectral function  $A(k, \omega)$  with  $k = \frac{\pi}{6}$ . The simple slave-boson decomposition gives the fully-shaded line shape. The partially-shaded singularities are due to the nonlocal phase-string interactions. (b) The locations of the singularities are plotted in the  $\omega$ - $k$  plane.

